Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/823,828	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANDY HO	2194
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Andy Ho</u> .	(3)	
(2) Eric A. Stephenson (38,321).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 April 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 104-105		
Prior art documents discussed: none Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Andy Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/823,828

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner informed the applicant that the application was in condition for allowance. However, non-elected claims 104-105 needed to be cancelled and Altorney Docket No. in the specification needed to be removed. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.